Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/876,645	SUN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	KENNETH TANG	2195	
All Participants:	Status of Application: After-final Amendment		
(1) <u>KENNETH TANG</u> .	(3)		
(2) Walter W. Nielsen (Reg. No. 25,539).	(4)		
Date of Interview: 26 June 2008	Time: <u>11am</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: NA			
Claims discussed: 1, 4-6, 8-9, 12-14, 16-19, 21-24, 26-29, 31-34, and 36			
Prior art documents discussed:			
Mansfield et al (US Pat. 5,014,192)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
It was agreed to combine the limitations of claims 4, 5, 6, and 8 into claim 1 to overcome Mansfield reference in order to place the application in condition for allowance. It was agreed to make similar amendments to the remaining independent claims 9, 17, 22, 27 and 32.			
Part III.			
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195			
/Kenneth Tang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2195	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)	
		** * /	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-413B) PTOL-413B (04-03)

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

Paper No. 20080625